

Introduction to Number Theory Week 2 Handout

04/10/18

1 Introduction

Tutor Name: Alexandre (Alex) Daoud (S5.13)

Email: alexandre.daoud@kcl.ac.uk

Tutorial Group: 02_JT

Tutorial Website: http://p-adic.com/teaching/ccm224_1819

2 Handing in Sheet 1

I will mark your answers to the following questions once you hand them in next week:

- Q1 (3), Q2, Q4, Q5

The rest (except 6) we will have a look at today in class.

3 A practice Linear Diophantine Equation

We will have a look at the following Exercise today (as practice for Exercise 5):

Exercise. Consider the linear Diophantine equation

$$28x + 49y = 14$$

State whether or not this equation has an integer solution $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. If not, state a reason for why. If so then find a closed form for all its integer solutions.

Solution. Theorem 1.13 from lectures tells us that this equation has integer solutions if and only if 14 is a multiple of $\gcd(28, 49)$. Staring at these numbers hard enough, we realise that $\gcd(28, 49) = 7$ so indeed this equation has integer solutions.

Theorem 1.13 also tells us how we should find a closed form for these solutions. We must first apply the Euclidean algorithm forwards then backwards to 28 and 49 in order to find two integers u and v such that

$$28u + 49v = 7$$

So let's do that:

$$49 = 1 * 28 + 21$$

$$28 = 1 * 21 + 7$$

$$21 = 3 * 7 + 0$$

Now reversing the algorithm gives

$$7 = 28 - 1 * 21$$

$$7 = 28 - 1 * (49 - 1 * 28)$$

$$7 = 28 - 1 * 49 + 1 * 28$$

$$7 = 2 * 28 - 1 * 49$$

So $u = 2$ and $v = -1$. Hence the solutions to the equation are given by $(x_n, y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ where

$$\begin{aligned}x_n &= \frac{14}{7}(2) + \frac{49}{7}n \\x_n &= 4 + 7n\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}y_n &= \frac{14}{7}(-1) - \frac{28}{7}n \\y_n &= -2 - 4n\end{aligned}$$

and we are done! (Make sure to check that these solutions indeed work!)

4 Detailed solution to Question 3

Exercise. Let $a > b > 1$ be integers.

1. Consider the first two steps of the Euclidean algorithm for computing $\gcd(a, b)$:

$$\begin{aligned}a &= q_1b + r_1 \\b &= q_2r_1 + r_2\end{aligned}$$

Show that $r_2 < \frac{b}{2}$.

2. Let $\lambda(a, b)$ be the number of steps taken by the Euclidean algorithm for computing $\gcd(a, b)$ - more precisely, we let $\lambda(a, b) = n$ where r_n is the first zero remainder in the Euclidean algorithm.

Show that $\lambda(a, b) \leq \lceil \frac{\log b}{\log 2} \rceil$.

Solution. We shall prove Part 1 and the link between Part 1 and Part 2 via the following claim:

Claim. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote by r_n the n^{th} remainder given by the Euclidean algorithm. Then

$$r_{2n} < \frac{b}{2^n}$$

Proof. We prove the claim by induction on n . First assume that $n = 1$. We need to show that $r_2 < \frac{b}{2}$. By Theorem 1.2 in the notes, we know that $r_2 < r_1$. Hence if $r_1 \leq \frac{b}{2}$ then we are done. So let's assume that $r_1 > \frac{b}{2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}r_2 &= b - q_2r_1 \\&< b - \frac{b}{2} \\&< \frac{b}{2}\end{aligned}$$

and so the claim is proven when $n = 1$. Now assume, given an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $r_{2n} < \frac{b}{2^n}$. We need to show that $r_{2(n+1)} < \frac{b}{2^{n+1}}$. As before, Theorem 1.2 tells us that $r_{2n+2} < r_{2n+1}$ so if $r_{2n+1} \leq \frac{b}{2^{n+1}}$ then we are done. If not then $r_{2n+1} > \frac{b}{2^{n+1}}$. By the induction hypothesis we know that $r_{2n} < \frac{b}{2^n}$. Putting it all together, we get

$$\begin{aligned}r_{2(n+1)} &= r_{2n+2} = r_{2n} - q_{2n+2}r_{2n+1} \\&< \frac{b}{2^n} - \frac{b}{2^{n+1}} \\&< \frac{b}{2^{n+1}}\end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim. □

We are now in a position to finish the solution to Part 2. Let $n = \lceil \frac{\log b}{\log 2} \rceil$. Then $n \geq \frac{\log b}{\log 2}$. Doing some algebra, we find that $2^n \geq b$. But by the claim, we know that $r_{2n} < \frac{b}{2^n}$ and so

$$r_{2n} < \frac{b}{2^n} \leq 1$$

Now, Theorem 1.2 says that $r_{2n} \geq 0$ so, necessarily, $r_{2n} = 0$. We can thus be assured that after at most $2n$ steps, the Euclidean algorithm is guaranteed to have terminated. In other words, $\lambda(a, b)$ can be no larger than $2n = 2 \lceil \frac{\log b}{\log 2} \rceil$.