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Throughout this document, we shall fix a prime $p$. Unless otherwise stated, $K$ shall refer to a number field. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime of $K$, we shall denote by $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the completion of $K$ at $\mathfrak{p}$. When $\mathfrak{p}$ is non-archimedean, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}$ its ring of integers, $U_{K, \mathfrak{q}}$ for its unit group and $U_{K, \mathfrak{p}}^{(n)}$ for the $n^{\text {th }}$ unit group of $\mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}, n>0$. By $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ we shall mean the $\mathfrak{p}$-adic valuation on $K$ and $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and similarly for the $\mathfrak{p}$-adic absolute value $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By $\mathbb{F}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, we shall mean the residue field of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. When it is evident which number field we are working in, we shall drop $K$ from the subscript.

## 1 Basic Properties of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extensions

Definition 1.1. Let $K_{\infty} / K$ be a Galois extension. We say that $K_{\infty} / K$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$-extension if $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\infty} / K\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ as topological groups.

Proposition 1.2. Let $K_{\infty} / K$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a unique intermediate field $K \subseteq K_{n} \subseteq K_{\infty}$ such that $\left[K^{n}: K\right]=p^{n}$. Moreover, these are exactly all intermediate fields of $K_{\infty} / K$.

Proof. By the Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory, the intermediate extensions of $L$ of $K_{\infty} / K$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the closed subgroups $C_{L}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Moreover, $[L: K]=\left[\mathbb{Z}_{p}: C_{L}\right]$. Hence it suffices to determine the closed subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ be a non-zero closed subgroup. Fix $x \in S$ such that $v_{p}(x)$ is minimal. Clearly, $x \mathbb{Z} \subseteq S$. But $S$ is closed and so $x \mathbb{Z}_{p} \subseteq S$. By the choice of $x$, we necessarily then have that $S=x \mathbb{Z}_{p}=p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

Proposition 1.3. Let $K_{\infty} / K$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension and $\mathfrak{q}$ a prime of $K$ not lying over $p$. Then $K_{\infty} / K$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}$.

Proof. Let $I_{\mathfrak{q}} \subseteq \operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\infty} / K\right)$ denote the inertia group for $\mathfrak{q}$. Let $\mathfrak{q}_{\infty}$ be a prime of $K_{\infty}$ lying over $\mathfrak{q}$ and denote by $\overline{K_{\infty}}$ the completion of $K_{\infty}$ at $\mathfrak{q}_{\infty}$. Since we have a continuous surjection

$$
\pi: \operatorname{Gal}\left(\overline{K_{\infty}} / K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\overline{K_{\infty}}} / \mathbb{F}_{K_{\mathfrak{q}}}\right)
$$

given by the reduction map and $I_{\mathfrak{q}}=\pi^{-1}(\{1\})$, it follows that $I_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is closed in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Hence $I_{\mathfrak{q}}=0$ or $I_{\mathfrak{q}}=p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for some $n \geq 1$. In the former case, we are done so assume that there exists some $n \geq 1$ such that $I_{\mathfrak{q}}=p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Then $I_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is infinite. Since $\left|I_{\mathfrak{q}}\right|=1$ or 2 when $\mathfrak{q}$ is archimedean, we must have that $\mathfrak{q}$ is non-archimedean.

By Local Class Field Theory, the local Artin map induces a continuous surjective homomorphism

$$
\left[-, \overline{K_{\infty}} / K_{\mathfrak{q}}\right]: U_{K, \mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow I_{\mathfrak{q}}
$$

Let $q$ be the rational prime lying under $\mathfrak{q}$. Then the logarithm map induces a surjective homomorphism

$$
\log : U_{K, \mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{q}}
$$

Since this map has finite kernel $A$ and $\mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{q}}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$-module of rank $m=[K: \mathbb{Q}]$, we then have the isomorphism

$$
U_{K, \mathfrak{q}} \cong A \times \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{m}
$$

Composing this with the local Artin map gives a continuous surjective homomorphism

$$
A \times \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{m} \longrightarrow p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}
$$

But $p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is torsion-free as a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module so we in fact have a continuous surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{m} \rightarrow p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. This induces a continuous surjective homomorphism

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{m} \longrightarrow p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p} / p^{n+1} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}
$$

But $\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{m}$ has no closed subgroups of index $p$. Hence $I_{\mathfrak{q}}=0$ and so $K_{\infty} / K$ is unramified outside $p$.

Proposition 1.4. Let $K_{\infty} / K$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension and $K_{n}$ the intermediate fields. Then at least one prime of $K$ ramifies in $K_{\infty}$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every prime of $K_{n}$ which ramifies in $K_{\infty} / K_{n}$ is totally ramified.

Proof. Recall that the Hilbert class field of $K$ is the maximal unramified abelian extension of $K$ and is of finite degree over $K$. Since $K_{\infty} / K$ is an infinite extension, it follows that at least one prime of $K$ must ramify in $K_{\infty}$.

By Proposition 1.3, the only possible primes of $K$ that could ramify in $K_{\infty}$ are exactly those that lie over $p$. Denote them $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{m}$ and let $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{m}$ be their corresponding inertia groups. Then

$$
\bigcap_{j=1}^{m} I_{j}=p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}
$$

for some $n \geq 1$. Now, the fixed field of $p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and by the Galois correspondence we have that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\infty} / K_{n}\right) \subseteq I_{j}$ for all $j$. It then follows that all the primes above each $\mathfrak{p}_{j}$ are totally ramified in $K_{\infty} / K_{n}$.

Example 1.5. Let $K$ be a number field and and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$. We can construct a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension of $K$ in the following way. Let $\mu_{p^{\infty}}$ be the group of all $p$-power roots of unity in $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $K\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right) / K$ is Galois and we have a continuous injective homomorphism

$$
\phi: \operatorname{Gal}\left(K\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right) / K\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}
$$

defined in the following way. Given $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(K\left(\mu_{p}\right) / K\right)$ and $n \geq 0$, there exists a $u_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sigma(\zeta)=\zeta^{u_{n}}$ for all $\zeta \in \mu_{p^{n}}$. Such a $u_{n}$ is uniquely determined modulo $p^{n}$ and is coprime to $p$ and so $u_{n+1} \equiv u_{n}\left(\bmod p^{n}\right)$. We then set

$$
\phi(\sigma)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}
$$

and so $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right) / K\right)$ is isomorphic to an infinite closed subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. Such a closed subgroup has finite torsion so, quotienting out by an appropriate subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K\left(\mu_{p} \infty\right) / K\right)$ yields a quotient group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. The corresponding fixed field of this subgroup, denoted $K_{\infty}$, is called the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension of $K$. Note that $K_{\infty}=K \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$

## 2 Determining the amount of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extensions

Let $K$ be a number field of degree $n$. Let $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ be the $n$ distinct embeddings of $K$ into an algebraic closure of $K$. Let $r_{1}$ denote the number of real embeddings and $r_{2}$ the number of pairs of complex embeddings. We are interested in how many $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extensions of $K$ there are.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ denote a finite prime of $K$ lying above $p$. Define

$$
U=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} / p} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0)}, \quad U^{(1)}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} / p} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}
$$

and consider the diagonal embedding map

$$
\begin{aligned}
i: \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times} & \rightarrow U \\
\varepsilon & \mapsto(\varepsilon, \ldots, \varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $E_{1}=i^{-1}\left(U^{(1)}\right)$ then $E_{1}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank $r_{1}+r_{2}-1$. Moreover, $\overline{E_{1}}$ (as a subspace of $\left.U^{(1)}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module of rank no more than $r_{1}+r_{2}-1$.

Proof. Recall that we have an isomorphism

$$
U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0)} /_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}
$$

From which it follows that $E_{1}$ has finite index in $\mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$. By Dirichlet's Unit Theorem, $\mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$is a $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank $r_{1}+r_{2}-1$ whence so is $E_{1}$. Now, for large enough $n$, the logarithm map induces an isomorphism of topological groups

$$
\log _{\mathfrak{p}}: U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

so that $U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n)}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module of $\operatorname{rank}\left[K_{\mathfrak{p}}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right]$. We also have, for each $n \geq 1$, an isomorphism

$$
U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n)} /_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n+1)}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

Then $U^{(1)}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module of $\operatorname{rank}[K: \mathbb{Q}]=\sum_{\mathfrak{p} / p}\left[K_{\mathfrak{p}}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right]$. This then implies that $\overline{E_{1}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module. Since $E_{1}$ has $\mathbb{Z}$-rank $r_{1}+r_{2}-1, \overline{E_{1}}$ can have $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-rank no larger than $r_{1}+r_{2}-1$ as claimed.

Conjecture 2.2 (Leopoldt). $\overline{E_{1}}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module of rank $r_{1}+r_{2}-1$.
Remark. Leopoldt's conjecture is known to be true in the case that $K$ is an abelian extension.

Let $\mathbb{I}_{K}$ be the idèle group of $K$ and $\mathcal{C}_{K}=\mathbb{I}_{K} / K^{\times}$the idèle class group. Let $\mathbb{D}_{K}$ be the connected component of the identity of $\mathbb{I}_{K}$.

Lemma 2.3. We have an isomorphism

$$
\mathbb{D}_{K} \cong\left(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\times}\right)^{r_{1}} \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)^{r_{2}}
$$

Proof. Recall that non-archimedean fields are totally disconnected and therefore so are their unit groups. Since the cartesian product of totally disconnected spaces is totally disconnected, it follows that $\mathbb{D}_{K}$ is topologically isomorphic to the connected components of the archimidean completions of $K$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $F$ be a local field of characteristic 0 with residue field $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F})=$ p. Then $U_{F} \cong U_{F}^{(1)} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$.

Proof. Recall that we have an isomorphism

$$
U_{F} / U_{F}^{(1)} \cong \mathbb{F}^{\times}
$$

so that we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow U_{F}^{(1)} \longrightarrow U_{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow 0
$$

The Teichmüller lift provides a right splitting of this exact sequence so the Splitting Lemma implies the Lemma.

Theorem 2.5. Suppose that $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\overline{E_{1}}\right)=r_{1}+r_{2}-1-\delta$. Then there exist $r_{2}+1+\delta$ independent $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extensions of $K$. In particular, if $K^{\prime}$ is the compositum of all $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extensions of $K$ then $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{r_{2}+1+\delta}$.

Proof. Throughout this proof, we shall use the placeholder $A$ to mean a certain finite group whose exact structure can be ignored. Let $L$ be the maximal abelian extension of $K$ which is unramified outside of $p$. By Proposition $1.3, K^{\prime} \subseteq L$. By class field theory, there exists a closed subgroup $K^{\times} \subseteq H \subseteq \mathbb{I}_{K}$ such that the global Artin map induces an isomorphism

$$
[-, L / K]: \mathcal{C}_{K / H} \cong \operatorname{Gal}(L / K)
$$

and such that $\mathcal{C}_{K} / H$ is totally disconnected. Given an archimedean prime $\mathfrak{q}$ of $K$, let $U_{\mathfrak{q}}=K_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\times}$. Furthermore, define the groups

$$
U^{\prime}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} / p} U_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad U^{\prime \prime}=\prod_{\mathfrak{q} \nmid p} U_{\mathfrak{q}}, \quad U=U^{\prime} \times U^{\prime \prime}
$$

We will identify these groups with their images in $\mathbb{I}_{K}$. Also note that $U$ is an open subgroup of $\mathbb{I}_{K}$. Now, since $L / K$ is unramified outside of $p, U^{\prime \prime} \subseteq H$. By Lemma we have that $\mathbb{D}_{K} \subseteq U^{\prime \prime} \subseteq H$. But $L$ is the maximal such extension so, necessarily, $H=\overline{K^{\times} U^{\prime \prime}}$.

Now define $J^{\prime}=\mathcal{C}_{K} / H=\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$ and

$$
J^{\prime \prime}=K^{\times} U / H=U^{\prime} H / H=U^{\prime} /\left(U^{\prime} \cap H\right)
$$

Letting $U^{(1)}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} / p} U_{K, \mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}$ as before, Lemma 2.4 implies that $U^{\prime}=U^{(1)} \times A$. Then

$$
J^{\prime \prime} \cong A \times U^{(1)} /\left(U^{(1)} \cap H\right)
$$

Now let $\psi: E_{1} \rightarrow U^{(1)}$ denote the embedding of $E_{1}$ into $\mathbb{I}_{K}$. Note that $\psi(\varepsilon)_{\mathfrak{q}}=1$ when $\mathfrak{q} \nmid p$.
We first require the following Lemma:
Lemma 2.6. $U_{1} \cap H=U_{1} \cap \overline{K^{\times} U^{\prime \prime}}=\overline{\psi\left(E_{1}\right)}$
Proof. Fix $\varepsilon \in E_{1}$. Observe that

$$
\psi(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon\left(\frac{\psi(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\right) \in K^{\times} U^{\prime \prime}
$$

since $(\psi(\varepsilon) / \varepsilon)_{\mathfrak{p}}=1$ when $\mathfrak{p} / p$. By definition, $\psi(\varepsilon) \in U^{(1)}$. Passing to the closure, we get one inclusion.

To prove the other inclusion, denote $U^{(n)}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} / p} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n)}$. Then since $\mathbb{I}_{K}$ is a topological group, we have that

$$
\overline{K^{\times} U^{\prime \prime}}=\bigcap_{n \geq 1} K^{\times} U^{\prime \prime} U^{(n)}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\overline{\psi\left(E_{1}\right)}=\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \psi\left(E_{1}\right) U^{(n)}
$$

It thus suffices to show that

$$
U^{(1)} \cap K^{\times} U^{\prime \prime} U^{(n)} \subseteq \psi\left(E_{1}\right) U^{(n)}
$$

To this end, fix $x \in K^{\times}, u^{\prime \prime} \in U^{\prime \prime}$ and $u \in U^{(n)}$ and suppose that $x u^{\prime \prime} u \in U^{(1)}$. Then, clearly, $x u^{\prime \prime} \in U^{(1)}$. Now, $\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=1$ for $\mathfrak{p} / p$ so $x \in U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}$ for such primes. Since $\left(U_{1}\right)_{\mathfrak{q}}=1$ for $\mathfrak{q} \nmid p$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ is a unit at such primes, it follows that $x$ is a unit everywhere so $x \in E_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$. But then $x u^{\prime \prime} \in \psi\left(E_{1}\right)$ and so $x u^{\prime \prime} u \in \psi\left(E_{1}\right) U_{n}$ which completes the proof of the Lemma.

We are now in a position to prove the Theorem. As before, $U^{(1)} \cong A \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{[K: \mathbb{Q}]}$. Hence

$$
U_{1} /\left(U_{1} \cap H\right)=U_{1} / \overline{\psi\left(E_{1}\right)} \cong A \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{r_{1}+1+\delta}
$$

so we have a similar isomorphism for $J^{\prime \prime}$. But

$$
J^{\prime} / J^{\prime \prime} \cong \mathcal{C}_{K} / U \cong C_{K}
$$

where $C_{K}$ is the finite ideal class group of $K$. Hence $J^{\prime} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{r_{2}+1+\delta} \cong A$. Let $N$ be cardinality of the finite group $A$. Then

$$
N \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{r_{2}+1+\delta} \subseteq N J^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{r_{2}+1+\delta}
$$

so that $N J^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{r_{2}+1+\delta}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module. Let $J_{N}^{\prime}$ be the $N$-torsion subgroup of $J^{\prime}$. Then we have isomorphisms

$$
J^{\prime} / J_{N}^{\prime} \cong N J^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{r_{2}+1+\delta}
$$

Now suppose that $J_{N}^{\prime}$ has order larger than $N$. By the Pigeonhole Principle, there would exist distinct $x, y \in J_{N}^{\prime}$ such that $[x]=[y]$. But the difference $[x]-[y]$ is also killed by $N$ and so $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{r_{2}+1+\delta}$ would have non-trivial $N$-torsion which it doesn't. Hence $\left|J_{N}^{\prime}\right| \leq N$. In particular, it has finite cardinality so its fixed field is necessarily $K^{\prime}$ and the Theorem is proven.

Corollary 2.7. Let $K(1)$ be the Hilbert class field of $K$ and $L$ the maximal abelian extension of $K$ unramified outside of $p$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(L / K(1)) \cong\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} / p} U_{K, \mathfrak{p}}\right) / \overline{\mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}}
$$

Proof. In the notation of the previous proof, $J^{\prime} \cong \operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$. The closed subgroup $J^{\prime \prime}$ corresponds to $K(1)$ by class field theory and so $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K(1)) \cong J^{\prime \prime} \cong U^{\prime} /\left(U^{\prime} \cap H\right)$. The same proof as for Lemma 2.6 shows that $U^{\prime} \cap H=\overline{\psi\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}\right)}$as desired.

## $3 \quad \Lambda$-modules

Let $K$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}, \mathcal{O}$ its ring of integers and $\pi$ a uniformiser generating the unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}$.
Proposition 3.1 (Division Algorithm). Let $f, g \in \mathcal{O}[[T]]$ with $f=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} T^{i}$. Suppose that $a_{i} \in \mathfrak{p}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ but $a_{n} \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}$. Then there exist unique $q \in \mathcal{O}[[T]]$ and $r \in \mathcal{O}[T]$ such that $g=q f+r$ and $\operatorname{deg}(r) \leq n-1$.
Proof. We first prove uniqueness which amounts to showing that if $q f+r=0$ then $q=r=0$. Suppose that $q, r \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that either $\pi \nmid r$ or $\pi \nmid q$. Reducing modulo $\pi$ shows that, necessarily, $\pi \mid r$ so we have that $\pi \nmid q$ but $\pi \mid f q$. But $\pi \nmid f$ so we must have that $\pi \mid q$ which is a contradiction.

To prove the existence of $q$ and $r$, define the $\mathcal{O}$-linear shift operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau=\tau_{n}: \mathcal{O}[[T]] & \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[[T]] \\
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} T^{i} & \mapsto \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} b_{i} T^{i-n}
\end{aligned}
$$

which satisfies the following two properties

1. $\tau\left(T^{n} h(T)\right)=h(T)$ for all $h(T) \in \mathcal{O}[[T]]$
2. $\tau(h(T))=0 \Longleftrightarrow h(T) \in \mathcal{O}[T]$ with $\operatorname{deg}(h(T)) \leq n-1$

We can always write

$$
f(T)=\pi P(T)+T^{n} U(T)
$$

where $P(T) \in \mathcal{O}[T]$ has $\operatorname{deg}(P) \leq n-1$ and $U(T)=\tau(f(T))$. Now, since $a_{n} \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}$, it follows that $U(T)$ is a unit in $\mathcal{O}[[T]]$. Define

$$
q(T)=\frac{1}{U(T)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{j} \pi^{j}\left(\tau \circ \frac{P}{U}\right)^{j} \circ \tau(g)
$$

We note that the $\pi^{j}$ factor ensures that this is a well-defined power series over $\mathcal{O}$. Since

$$
q f=\pi q P+T^{n} q U
$$

it follows that

$$
\tau(q f)=\pi \tau(q P)+\tau\left(T^{n} q U\right)=\pi \tau(q P)+q U
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi \tau(q P) & =\pi\left(\tau \circ \frac{P}{U}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{j} \pi^{j}\left(\tau \circ \frac{P}{U}\right)^{j} \circ \tau(g)\right) \\
& =\tau(g)-q U
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\tau(q f)=\tau(g)
$$

By the second property of $\tau$ it then follows that $g=q f+r$ for some $r \in \mathcal{O}[T]$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(r) \leq n-1$.

Definition 3.2. Let $P(T)=T^{n}+a_{n-1} T^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0} \in \mathcal{O}[T]$. We say that $P(T)$ is distinguished if $a_{i} \in \mathfrak{p}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Theorem 3.3 ( $p$-adic Weierstrass Preparation). Let $f(T)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} T^{i} \in \mathcal{O}[[T]]$ and suppose that $a_{i} \in \mathfrak{p}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ but $a_{n} \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for some $n$. Then $f$ can be written uniquely in the form $f(T)=p(T) U(T)$ where $U(T) \in \mathcal{O}[[T]]$ is a unit and $P(T)$ is a distinguished polynomial of degree $n$.

Moreover, if $f(T) \in \mathcal{O}[[T]]$ is non-zero then we may uniquely write

$$
f(T)=\pi^{\mu} P(T) U(T)
$$

with $P$ a distinguished polynomial of degree $n, U(T) \in \mathcal{O}[[T]]$ a unit and $\mu \geq 0$.
Proof. The second part follows immediately from the first part upon factoring out a large enough power of $\pi$ from the coefficients of $f(T)$.

In order to prove the first statement, let $g(T)=T^{n}$. By the division algorithm, there exist unique $q \in \mathcal{O}[[T]]$ and $r \in \mathcal{O}[T]$ with $\operatorname{deg}(r) \leq n-1$ and

$$
T^{n}=q(T) f(T)+r(T)
$$

Since

$$
q(T) f(T) \equiv q(T)\left(a_{n} T^{n}+o\left(T^{n+1}\right)\right) \quad(\bmod \pi)
$$

whence $r(T) \equiv 0(\bmod \pi)$. Hence $P(T)=T^{n}-r(T)$ is a distinguished polynomial of degree $n$. Denote by $q_{0}$ the constant term of $q(T)$. Comparing coefficients of $T^{n}$, we see that

$$
q_{0} a_{n} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod \pi)
$$

and so $q_{0} \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}$whence $q(T)$ is a unit in $\mathcal{O}[[T]]$. Define $U(T)=1 / q(T)$. Then $f(T)=$ $P(T) U(T)$ as desired.

To prove uniqueness, note that any distinguished polynomial of degree $n$ can be written as $P(T)=T^{n}-r(T)$. Transforming the equation $f(T)=P(T) U(T)$ back to

$$
T^{n}=U(T)^{-1} f(T)+r(T)
$$

allows us to apply the uniqueness statement of the division algorithm to see that $U(T)$ and $r(T)$ are unique.

Corollary 3.4. Let $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ be the complex p-adic $\xi^{円}$ and $f(T) \in \mathcal{O}[[T]]$ non-zero. Then there are only finitely many $x \in \mathbb{C}_{p}$ such that $|x|_{p}<1$ and $f(x)=0$.

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{C}_{p}$ such that $|x|_{p}<1$ and $f(x)=0$. By the $p$-adic Weierstrass Preparation Theorem we can write $f(T)=\pi^{\mu} P(T) U(T)$ for some $\mu \geq 0, P(T)$ distinguished and $U(T) \in$ $\mathcal{O}[[T]]$. But $U(T)$ is a unit so $U(x) \neq 0$ and so, necessarily, $P(x)=0$. Hence there can only be finitely many such $x$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $P(T) \in \mathcal{O}[T]$ be distinguished and $g(T) \in \mathcal{O}[T]$ arbitrary. If $g(T) / p(T) \in \mathcal{O}[[T]]$ then, in fact, $g(T) / P(T) \in \mathcal{O}[T]$.

[^0]Proof. Write $g(T)=f(T) P(T)$ for some $f(T) \in \mathcal{O}[[T]]$. Let $x \in \mathbb{C}_{p}$ be a root of $P(T)$. Then

$$
0=P(x)=x^{n}+z(x) \pi
$$

for some polynomial $z(x) \in \mathcal{O}[T]$. Hence $|x|_{p}<1$ whence $f(x)$ converges so that $g(x)=0$. Now, dividing by $T-x$ and expanding the ring as necessary we can continue this process to see that $P(T)$ divides $g(T)$ as polynomials and so $f(T) \in \mathcal{O}[T]$.

From now on, let $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}_{p}[[T]]$.
Proposition 3.6. $\Lambda$ is a unique factorisation domain and is Noetherian. It's irreducible elements are $p$ and the irreducible distinguished polynomials. The units are precisely the power series whose constant term is 1 .

Proof. Everything follows immediately from the p-adic Weierstrass Theorem except the Noetherian statement which follows from the formal Hilbert Basis Theorem and the fact that $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is Noetherian (it's a PID).

Lemma 3.7. Let $f, g \in \Lambda$ be coprime. Then $(f, g) \Lambda$ is of finite index in $\Lambda$.
Proof. Fix $h \in(f, g)$ of minimal degree. The necessarily $h=p^{s} H$ for some $s \geq 0$ and either $H=1$ or $H$ a distinguished polynomial. Suppose that $H \neq 1$. Since $f$ and $g$ are coprime, we may assume that $H$ does not divide $f$. By the division algorithm we have

$$
f=H q+r
$$

for some $q$ and $r$ with $\operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} H=\operatorname{deg} h$. Hence

$$
p^{s} f=h q+p^{s} r
$$

Then $p^{s} r \in(f, g)$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(p^{s} r\right)<\operatorname{deg}(h)$ which contradicts the minimality of $\operatorname{deg}(h)$. Hence $H=1$ and $h=p^{s}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f$ is coprime to $p$ and is distinguished. Indeed, if this were not the case then we could just use $g$ or divide by a unit. Since $h=p^{s}$ and $f$ and $g$ are coprime, it follows that $\left(p^{s}, f\right) \subseteq(f, g)$. By the division algorithm, any element of $\Lambda$ is congruent modulo $f$ to a polynomial of degree less than $\operatorname{deg}(f)$. There are only finitely many such polynomials modulo $p^{s}$ whence ( $p^{s}, f$ ) has finite index in $\Lambda$. Hence so does $(f, g)$ as claimed.

Lemma 3.8. Let $f, g \in \Lambda$ be coprime. Then

1. The map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi: \Lambda /(f g) & \rightarrow \Lambda /(f) \oplus \Lambda /(g) \\
{[h]_{f g} } & \mapsto\left([h]_{f},[h]_{g}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is an injection with finite cokernel.
2. There exists an injective map

$$
\psi: \Lambda /(f) \oplus \Lambda /(g) \rightarrow \Lambda /(f g)
$$

with finite cokernel.

Proof.
Part 1: Suppose that $\phi\left([h]_{f g}\right)=0$. Then $h \equiv 0(\bmod f)$ and $h \equiv 0(\bmod g)$ so that $f \mid h$ and $g \mid h$. But $f$ and $g$ are coprime and $\Lambda$ is a UFD and so $f g \mid h$ whence $[h]=0$.

To see that this map has finite cokernel, we first observe that by Lemma 3.7 we can choose finitely many representatives $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ for $\Lambda /(f, g)$. We claim that

$$
\left\{\left([0]_{f},\left[r_{i}\right]_{g}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}
$$

is a set of coset representatives for coker $\phi$. To this end, fix an equivalence class $\bar{m} \in \operatorname{coker} \phi$. Suppose that $m=\left([a]_{f},[b]_{g}\right) \in \Lambda /(f) \oplus \Lambda /(g)$. We need to show that there exists some $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that

$$
\left([a]_{f},[b]_{g}\right) \sim\left([0]_{f},\left[r_{i}\right]_{g}\right) \Longleftrightarrow\left([a]_{f},\left[b-r_{i}\right]_{g}\right) \sim 0 \Longleftrightarrow\left([a]_{f},\left[b-r_{i}\right]_{g}\right) \in \operatorname{im} \phi
$$

Now, $a-b \equiv-r_{k}(\bmod (f, g))$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$. Hence $a-b+r_{k} \in(f, g)$ and so $a-b+r_{k}=A f+B g$ for some $A, B \in \Lambda$. Define

$$
c=a-A f=b-r_{k}+B g
$$

Then $\phi([c])=\left([a]_{f},\left[b-r_{k}\right]_{g}\right)$ so taking $i=k$ works.
Part 2: Denote LetM $=\operatorname{im} \phi$ and $N=\Lambda /(f) \oplus \Lambda /(g)$. By Part 1, we have that $\Lambda /(f g) \cong M$ and $M \subseteq N$. Let $P \in \Lambda$ be a distinguished polynomial that is coprime to $f g$. Since $M$ has finite index in $N$, the Pigeohole principle implies that

$$
\left(P^{i}\right)(x, y) \equiv\left(P^{j}\right)(x, y) \quad(\bmod M)
$$

for some $i<j$. Observe that $1-P^{j-i} \in \Lambda^{\times}$so the above congruence then implies that $\left(P^{i}\right)(x, y) \in N$. Hence for large enough $i$, say $k$, we have that $P^{k} N \subseteq M$. We claim that $\psi=P^{k}$ is the desired injection with finite cokernel. Indeed, suppose that $\psi(x, y)=0$. Then $f \mid P^{k} x$ and $g \mid P^{k} y$. But $\operatorname{gcd}\left(P^{k}, f g\right)=1$ and so $f \mid x$ and $g \mid y$ whence $(x, y)=0$. Hence $\psi$ is injective. Now, $\left(P^{k}, f g\right)$ has finite index in $\Lambda$ and thus its image has finite index in $\Lambda /(f g)$. But $\left(P^{k}, f g\right) \subseteq \operatorname{im} \psi$ which implies that coker $\psi$ is finite.

Proposition 3.9. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a non-zero prime ideal of $\Lambda$. Then $\mathfrak{p}$ is one of $(p),(p, T)$, or $(P(T))$ for any irreducible distinguished polymomial. Moreover, $(p, T)$ is the unique maximal ideal of $\Lambda$ and so $\Lambda$ is a Noetherian local ring.

Proof. Since $\Lambda$ is a UFD with irreducibles $p, P(T)$ and $T$, it follows that the ideals that they are generate are prime ideals. Let $h \in \mathfrak{p}$ be of minimal degree. Then by the $p$-adic Weierstrass preparation theorem, $h=p^{s} H$ for some $s \geq 0$ and $H$ either 1 or a distinguished polynomial. Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime, either $p \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $H \in \mathfrak{p}$. If $1 \neq H$ then $H$ must be irreducible by minimality of its degree. Hence in either case, $(f) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ where $f$ is either $p$ or an irreducible distinguished polynomial. If $(f)=\mathfrak{p}$ then $\mathfrak{p}$ is one of the listed prime ideals and we are done.

Next, suppose that $\mathfrak{p} \neq(f)$. Then there exists $g \in \mathfrak{p}$ such that $f \nmid g$. Now, $f$ is irreducible so, necessarily, $f$ and $g$ are coprime. Then $(f, g)$ has finite index in $\Lambda$ by Lemma 3.7. But $(f, g) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ so that $\mathfrak{p}$ has finite index in $\lambda$. Observe that $\Lambda / \mathfrak{p}$ is a finite $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module and so $p^{N} \in \mathfrak{p}$ for large enough $N$. Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime we then have that $p \in \mathfrak{p}$. Moreover, $T^{i} \equiv T^{j}$ $(\bmod \mathfrak{p})$ for some $i<j$. Since $1-T^{j-i} \in \Lambda^{\times}$it then follows that $T^{i} \in \mathfrak{p}$ whence $T \in \mathfrak{p}$. We thus see that $(p, T) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. But $\Lambda /(p, T) \equiv \mathbb{F}_{p}$ which is a field and so $(p, T)$ is maximal and $(p, T)=\mathfrak{p}$.

Lemma 3.10. Let $f \in \Lambda$ such that $f \notin \Lambda^{\times}$. Then $\Lambda /(f)$ is infinite.
Proof. If $f=0$ then we are done so assume that $f \neq 0$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $f=p$ or $f$ is a distinguished polynomial. If $f=p$ then $\Lambda /(f) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}[[T]]$ which is infinite.

If $f$ is a distinguished polynomial, fix $g \in \Lambda$. By the division algorithm, we can find unique $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[[T]]$ such that $g=f q+r$. Then $g \equiv r(\bmod (f))$. Since $r$ is unique and depends on $g$, we see that $\Lambda /(f)$ has the same cardinalty as $\Lambda$. In particular, it is an infinite subring of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[T]$.

Definition 3.11. Let $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ be $\Lambda$-modules. We say that $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are pseudoisomorphic and write $M \sim M^{\prime}$ if there exists a homomorphism $M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ with finite kernel and cokernel.

Proposition 3.12. Let $f, g \in \Lambda$ be coprime. Then

$$
\Lambda /(f g) \sim \Lambda /(f) \oplus \Lambda /(g), \quad \Lambda /(f) \oplus \Lambda /(g) \sim \Lambda /(f g)
$$

Proof. This is a restatement of Lemma .
We aim to prove the following Theorem:
Theorem 3.13. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module. Then

$$
M \sim \Lambda^{r} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Lambda /\left(p^{n_{i}}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \Lambda /\left(f_{j}(T)^{m_{j}}\right)\right)
$$

for some $r, s, t, n_{i}, m_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f_{j}$ irreducible distinguished polynomials.
Suppose $M$ is a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module so that we have an exact sequence

$$
\Lambda^{n} \xrightarrow{\phi} M \longrightarrow 0
$$

for some $n \geq 1$. Then the images of the generators of $\Lambda^{n}$ under $\phi$ are generators for $M$, label them $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$. Let $R=\operatorname{ker} \phi$. Note that the elements of $R$ correspond to relations

$$
\lambda_{1} u_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} u_{n}=0
$$

with $\lambda_{i} \in \Lambda$. Since $\Lambda$ is Noetherian, $R$ is finitely generated and so $M$ is a finitely presented $\Lambda$-module. That is to say, we have an exact sequence

$$
\Lambda^{m} \xrightarrow{R} \Lambda^{n} \xrightarrow{\phi} M \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $R$ is now the so-called presentation matrix of $M$. We have the following standard row and column operations which correspond to changing the generators of $R$ and $M$ :

Operation A. We may permute the rows or columns of $R$.
Operation B. We may add a multiple of a row (respectively column) to another row (respectively column). A special case of this operation is the following. If $\lambda^{\prime}=q \lambda+r$ then we can perform the operation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\vdots & & \vdots & \\
\lambda & \cdots & \lambda^{\prime} & \cdots \\
\vdots & & \vdots &
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\vdots & & \vdots & \\
\lambda & \cdots & r & \cdots \\
\vdots & & \vdots &
\end{array}\right)
$$

Operation C. We may multiply any row or column by an element of $\Lambda^{\times}$.
Since we are working up to pseudo-isomorphism, we also have the following operations for which we provide a proof that they change the generators of $R$ :

Operation 1. If $R$ contains a row $\left(\lambda_{1}, p \lambda_{2}, \ldots, p \lambda_{n}\right)$ with $p \nmid \lambda_{1}$. Then we may change $R$ to the matrix $R^{\prime}$ whose first row is $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ and the remaining rows are the rows of $R$ with the first element multiplied by $p$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda_{1} & p \lambda_{2} & \cdots \\
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \cdots \\
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \cdots
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda_{1} & \lambda_{2} & \cdots \\
p \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \cdots \\
p \beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \cdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

As a special case, if $\lambda_{2}=\cdots=\lambda_{n}=0$ then we may multiply $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \cdots$ by an arbitrary power of $p$.

Proof. In $R$ we have the relation

$$
\lambda_{1} u_{1}+p\left(\lambda_{2} u_{n}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} u_{n}\right)=0
$$

Define $M^{\prime}$ to be the $\Lambda$-module $M \oplus v \Lambda$ where $v \in M$ is a new generator modulo the relations

$$
\left(-u_{1}, p v\right)=0, \quad\left(\lambda_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} u_{n}, \lambda_{1} v\right)=0
$$

Let $\phi: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ be the natural map. We claim that $\phi$ is a pseudo-isomorphism. Suppose that $\phi(m)=0$. Then $(m, 0)$ lies in the module of relations of $M^{\prime}$ and so

$$
(m, 0)=a\left(-u_{1}, p v\right)+b\left(\lambda_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} u_{n}, \lambda_{1} v\right)
$$

for some $a, b \in \Lambda$. Hence $a p=-b \lambda_{1}$. Since $p \nmid \lambda_{1}$, it follows that $p \mid b$. Similarly, $\lambda_{1} \mid a$. Then in the $M$-component we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & =-\frac{a}{\lambda_{1}}\left(\lambda_{1} u_{1}\right)-\frac{a}{\lambda_{1}} p\left(\lambda_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} u_{n}\right) \\
& =-\frac{a}{\lambda_{1}}(0)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\phi$ is injective. Now consider the elements $p v$ and $\lambda_{1} v$ in $M^{\prime}$. It is clear that these elements lie in the image of $M$ under $\phi$. Then the ideal $\left(p, \lambda_{1}\right)$ annihilates $M^{\prime} / \phi(M)$. $M^{\prime} / \phi(M)$ therefore has the natural structure of a finitely-generated $\Lambda /\left(p, \lambda_{1}\right)$-module. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p, \lambda_{1}\right)=1$, the ideal $\left(p, \lambda_{1}\right)$ has finite index in $\Lambda$. It then follows that $M^{\prime} / \phi(M)$ is finite. Hence $\phi$ is a pseudo-isomorphism as claimed.

The module $M^{\prime}$ has generators $v, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}$ and any relation $\alpha u_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} u_{n}=0$ becomes $p \alpha_{1} v+\alpha_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} u_{n}=0$ so that the first column of the presentation matrix is multiplied by $p$. We furthermore have the relation $\lambda_{1} v+\lambda_{2} u_{2}+\ldots \lambda_{n} u_{n}=0$ so the presentation matrix takes the claimed form.

Operation 2. If all the elements in the first column of $R$ are divisible by $p^{k}$ for some $k \geq 1$ and if there is a row $\left(p^{k} \lambda_{1}, \ldots, p^{k} \lambda_{n}\right)$ such that $p \nmid \lambda_{1}$ then we may change to the matrix $R^{\prime}$ which is the same as $R$ except that $\left(p^{k} \lambda_{1}, \ldots, p^{k} \lambda_{n}\right)$ is replaced by $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
p^{k} \lambda_{1} & p^{k} \lambda_{2} & \cdots \\
p^{k} \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \cdots
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda_{1} & \lambda_{2} & \cdots \\
p^{k} \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \cdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proof. Define $M^{\prime}$ to be the $\Lambda$-module $M=v \Lambda$ where $v \in M$ is a new generator modulo the relations

$$
\left(p^{k} u_{1},-p^{k} v\right)=0, \quad\left(\lambda_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{1} v\right)=0
$$

Let $\phi: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ be the natural map. As before, the fact that $p \nmid \lambda_{1}$ implies that $\phi$ is injective. The fact that $\left(p^{k}, \lambda_{1}\right)$ annihilates $M^{\prime} / \phi(M)$ implies that $\phi$ has finite cokernel so that $\phi$ is a pseudo-isomorphism. Since we have the relation $p^{k}\left(u_{1}-v\right)=0$ in $M^{\prime}$ and the fact that $p^{k}$ divides every element of the first column of $R$, it follows that

$$
M^{\prime}=M^{\prime \prime} \oplus\left(u_{1}-v\right) \Lambda
$$

where $M^{\prime \prime}$ is the $\Lambda$-module generated by $v, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}$ and the relations ( $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ ) and $R$. Observe that, since $u_{1}-v$ is killed by $p^{k}$, we have that $\left(u_{1}-v\right) \Lambda=\Lambda /\left(p^{k}\right)$ which is in the form given in the Theorem. We are thus free to just work with $M^{\prime \prime}$ which clearly has $R^{\prime}$ as its presentation matrix.

Operation 3. If $R$ contains a row $\left(p^{k} \lambda_{1}, \ldots, p^{k} \lambda_{n}\right)$ and for some $\lambda$ with $p \nmid \lambda$ we have that $\left(\lambda \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda \lambda_{n}\right)$ is also a relation then we may change $R$ to $R^{\prime}$ where $R^{\prime}$ is the same as $R$ except that $\left(p^{k} \lambda_{1}, \ldots, p^{k} \lambda_{n}\right)$ is replaced by $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$.

Proof. Define the module $M^{\prime}=M /\left(\lambda_{1} u_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} u_{n}\right) \Lambda$ and let $\phi: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ be the natural surjection. The kernel of $\phi$ is clearly annihilated by the ideal $\left(p^{k}, \lambda\right)$ of $\Lambda$ and so ker $\phi$ has the natural structure of a $\Lambda /\left(p^{k}, \lambda\right)$-module. But $\Lambda /\left(p^{k}, \lambda\right)$ is finite and $\operatorname{ker} \phi$ is finitely generated since $M$ is and so $\operatorname{ker} \phi$ is finite and $M$ is pseudo-isomorphic to $M^{\prime}$.

Definition 3.14. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module and $R$ its relation matrix. We call the operations $A, B, C, 1,2,3$ on $R$ admissible.

Given $0 \neq f \in \Lambda$, let $f(T)=p^{\mu} P(T) U(T)$ be its Weierstrasas factorisation for some $\mu \geq 0, P(T)$ distinguished and $U(T) \in \Lambda^{\times}$. We define the Weierstrass degree of $f$ to be

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{w}(f)= \begin{cases}\infty & \text { if } \mu>0 \\ \operatorname{deg} P(T) & \text { if } \mu=0\end{cases}
$$

We then define

$$
\operatorname{deg}^{(k)}(R)=\min \operatorname{deg}_{w}\left(a_{i j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

for $i, j \geq k$ where $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ ranges over all relation matrices obtained from $R$ via admissible operations which leave the first $(k-1)$ rows unchanged.

Finally, if $R$ is in the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\lambda_{11} & & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
& \ddots & & & & \\
0 & & \lambda_{r-1, r-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
* & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * \\
* & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & *
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{r-1} & 0 \\
A & B
\end{array}\right)
$$

with each $\lambda_{k k}$ distinguished and

$$
\operatorname{deg} \lambda_{k k}=\operatorname{deg}_{w} \lambda_{k k}=\operatorname{deg}^{(k)}(R)
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq r-1$ then we say that $R$ is in $(r-1)$-form.

Lemma 3.15. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module with presentation matrix $R$. Suppose that $R$ is in $(r-1)$-form and $B \neq 0$. Then $R$ may be transformed via admissible operations into $R^{\prime}$ which is in $r$-normal form and has the same first $(r-1)$ diagonal elements as $R$.
Proof. By the special case of Operation 1, we can assume that for any $N$ we have $p^{N} \mid \lambda_{i, j}$ for all $i \geq r$ and $j \leq r-1$ so that $p^{N} \mid A$. Choose an $N$ large enough so that $p^{N} \nmid B$. By Operation 2, we may knock off enough powers of $p$ from the matrix formed by $A$ and $B$ so that $p \nmid B$. Furthermore, we may assume that $B$ contains an entry $\lambda_{i j}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{w} \lambda_{i j}=\operatorname{deg}^{(r)}(R)<\infty
$$

If $\lambda_{i j}=P(T) U(T)$ for some unit $U \in \Lambda^{\times}$, we may simply multiply the $j^{\text {th }}$ column by $\lambda_{i j}$ so we can ssume that $\lambda_{i j}$ is distinguished. Indeed, the first $r-1$ rows have 0 in the $j^{\text {th }}$ column so they do not change. Operation A allows us to assume that $\lambda_{i j}=\lambda_{r r}$. This is again because of the 0 entries.

By the division algorithm and the special case of $B$, we may assume that $\lambda_{r j}$ is a polynomial satisfying

$$
\operatorname{deg} \lambda_{r j}<\operatorname{deg} \lambda_{r r}
$$

when $j \neq r$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg} \lambda_{r j}<\operatorname{deg} \lambda_{j j}
$$

for $j<r$. But $\lambda_{r r}$ has minimal Weierstrass degree in $B$ so we must have that $p \mid \lambda_{r j}$ for some $j>r$. By applying Operation 1, we can assume that $p^{N} \mid \lambda_{r j}$ for some $j<r$ and large $N$. Now suppose that $\lambda_{r j} \neq 0$ for some $j>r$. Operation 1 allows us to remove the power of $p$ from $\lambda_{r j}$, leaving the 0 s above it unchanged. Then

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{w} \lambda_{r j}=\operatorname{deg} \lambda_{r j}<\operatorname{deg} \lambda_{r r}=\operatorname{deg}_{w} \lambda_{r} r
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\lambda_{j r}=0$ for all $j>r$.
Similarly, suppose that $\lambda_{r j} \neq 0$ for some $j<r$. Using Operation 1, we can assume that $p \nmid \lambda_{r j}$. But then

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{w} \lambda_{r j} \leq \operatorname{deg} \lambda_{r j}<\operatorname{deg} \lambda_{j j}=\operatorname{deg}_{w} \lambda_{j j}
$$

Since $\operatorname{deg}_{w} \lambda_{j j}=\operatorname{deg}^{(j)}(R)$, this contradicts the minimality of $\operatorname{deg}_{w} \lambda_{j j}$ so we must have that $\lambda_{r j}=0$ for all $j<r$. This proves the claim.
Theorem 3.16. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module. Then

$$
M \sim \Lambda^{r} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Lambda /\left(p^{n_{i}}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \Lambda /\left(f_{j}(T)^{m_{j}}\right)\right)
$$

for some $r, s, t, n_{i}, m_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f_{j}$ irreducible distinguished polynomials.
Proof. Let $R$ be the presentation matrix of $M$. Then, in the notation of Lemma 3.15, we have that $r=1$. We can repeatedly apply Lemma 3.15 to bring $R$ into the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{11} & & & 0 \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & \lambda_{r r} & \\
A & & & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where each $\lambda j j$ is distinguished and $\operatorname{deg} \lambda_{j j}=\operatorname{deg}^{(j)}(R)$ for $j \leq r$. Applying the division algorithm, we may assume that $\lambda_{i j}$ are polynomial and

$$
\operatorname{deg} \lambda_{i j}<\operatorname{deg} \lambda_{j j}
$$

for $i \neq j$. Now suppose that $\lambda_{i j} \neq 0$ for $i \neq j$. Since $\operatorname{deg}_{w} \lambda_{j j}$ is minimal, we must have that $p \mid \lambda_{i j}$. We thus have a non-zero relation $\left(\lambda_{i 1}, \ldots, \lambda_{i r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ divisible by $p$. Let $\lambda=\lambda_{11} \ldots \lambda_{r r}$. Then $p \nmid \lambda$ since the $\lambda_{i i}$ are distinguished and

$$
\left(\lambda \frac{1}{p} \lambda_{i 1}, \ldots, \lambda \frac{1}{p} \lambda_{i r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

is also a relation since $\lambda_{j j} u_{j}=0$. Operation 3 allows us to assume that there exists some $j$ for which $p \nmid \lambda_{i j}$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{w} \lambda_{i j} \leq \operatorname{deg} \lambda_{i j}<\operatorname{deg} \lambda_{j j}=\operatorname{deg}^{(j)}(R)
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\lambda_{i j}=0$ for all $i, j$ with $i \neq j$ and so $A=0$. Hence in terms of $\Lambda$-modules we have

$$
\Lambda /\left(\lambda_{11}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda /\left(\lambda_{r r}\right) \oplus \Lambda^{n-r}
$$

Adding in the factors $\Lambda /\left(p^{k}\right)$ from Operation 2 yields the form desired except that the $\lambda_{i i}$ are not necessarily irreducible. But applying Lemma 3 yields the desired result.

## 4 Iwasawa's Class Number Formula

Definition 4.1. Let $G$ be a topological group. We say that an element $\gamma \in G$ is a topological generator of $G$ if the subgroup generated by $\gamma$ is dense in $G$.

Example 4.2. Consider the additive group of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Then $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is a topological generator of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Indeed, the subgroup generated by 1 which is dense in $\mathbb{Z}$ with respect to the $p$-adic topology of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$

Definition 4.3. Let $\Gamma$ be a profinite group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $\gamma$ a topological generator of $\Gamma$. Let $\Gamma^{p^{n}}=\overline{\left\langle\gamma^{p^{n}}\right\rangle}$ be the unique closed subgroup of index $p^{n}$ in $\Gamma$. then $\Gamma_{n}=\Gamma / \Gamma^{p^{n}}$ is a cyclic group of order $p^{n}$ with generator $\gamma+\Gamma^{p^{n}}$ and we have an isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\Gamma_{n}\right] & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}[T] /\left((1+T)^{p^{n}}-1\right) \\
{[\gamma] } & \mapsto[1+T]
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, for $0 \leq n \leq m$, the natural map $\Gamma_{m} \rightarrow \Gamma_{n}$ induces a natural map $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\Gamma_{m}\right] \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\Gamma_{n}\right]$. We then define the Iwasawa algebra to be

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{p}[[\Gamma]]={\underset{n}{\lim }}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left[\Gamma_{n}\right] \cong \lim _{\hookleftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{p}[T] /\left((1+T)^{p^{n}}-1\right)
$$

Theorem 4.4. We have a topological isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}[\Gamma] \\
& T \mapsto \gamma-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Write $\omega_{n}(T)=(1+T)^{p^{n}}-1$. Then $\omega_{n}$ is distinguished and

$$
\frac{\omega_{n+1}(T)}{\omega_{n}(T)}=(1+T)^{p^{n}(p-1)}+\cdots+(1+T)^{p^{n}}+1 \in(p, T)
$$

By induction on $n$, it then follows that $\omega_{n}(T) \in(p, T)^{n+1}$. Now, the division algorithm implies that we have a continuous surjection

$$
\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda /\left(\omega_{n}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}[T] /\left(\omega_{n}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\Gamma_{n}\right]
$$

which is compatible with the transition maps $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\Gamma_{m}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\Gamma_{n}\right]$. By the universal property of the inverse limit, this continuous map factors through the continuous map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon & : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}[[\Gamma]] \\
T & \mapsto \gamma-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that

$$
\operatorname{ker} \varepsilon \subseteq \bigcap_{n}\left(\omega_{n}\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{n}(p, T)^{n+1}=0
$$

by Krull's intersection theorem. Hence $\varepsilon$ is injective. Now, $\Lambda$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[[\Gamma]]$ are both profinite. In particular, $\Lambda$ is compact and $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[[\Gamma]]$ is Hausdorff. Since $\varepsilon$ is continuous, $\mathrm{im} \varepsilon$ is compact in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[[\Gamma]]$ and is thus closed as a compact subspace of a Hausdorff space. On the other hand, im $\varepsilon$ is dense in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[[\Gamma]]$ since it is surjective on each finite level of the inverse system. It then follows that $\varepsilon$ is surjective.

Thus far, we have shown that $\varepsilon$ is an isomorphism of groups and is continuous. It remains to show that $\varepsilon$ is a homeomorphism. But this immediate since it is a continuous bijection from a compact space to a Hausdorff space.

We want to prove the following Theorem:
Theorem 4.5. Let $K_{\infty} / K$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension with intermediate fields $K_{n}$. Let $p^{e_{n}}$ be the exact power of $p$ dividing the class number of $K_{n}$. Then there are integers $\lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 0$ called the Iwasawa invariants of $K_{\infty} / K$ and an integer $v$ (all independently of $n$ ) and an integer $n_{0}$ such that

$$
e_{n}=\lambda n+\mu p^{n}+v
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$.
Proof. Denote $\Gamma=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\infty} / K\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and fix a topological generator $\gamma_{0}$ of $\Gamma$. Denote by $L_{n}$ the maximal unramified abelian $p$-extension of $K_{n}$. By class field theory, $L_{n}$ is a subfield of the Hilbert class field of $K_{n}$ whose Galois group over $K_{n}$ is the ideal class group of $K_{n}$. Then $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{n} / K_{n}\right) \cong A_{n}$ where $A_{n}$ is the $p$-Sylow subgroup of the ideal class group of $K_{n}$.

Define $L=\bigcup_{n \geq 1} L_{n}$ and $X=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / K_{\infty}\right)$. Since each $L_{n}$ is Galois over $K_{n}$ and maximal, it follows that $L$ is Galois over $K$. Denote $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$ so that we have the following diagram of Galois extensions:


The proof shall involve the following ideas. We shall give $X$ the stucture of a $\Gamma$-module so that $X$ is a $\Lambda$-module. We will then show that $X$ is finitely generated as a $\Lambda$-module and has $\Lambda$-torsion. By the structure theorem, $X$ will thus be pseudo-isomorphic to a direct sum of modules of the form $\Lambda /\left(p^{k}\right)$ and $\Lambda /\left(P(T)^{k}\right)$. These modules are easy to work with at the $n^{\text {th }}$ level. We can then transfer the result back to $X$ across the pseudo-isomorphism.

We first assume that all primes in $K_{\infty} / K$ which ramify in fact ramify totally. This can be achieved by applying Lemma 1.4 to $K$ to obtain an intermediate extension $K_{m} / K$ of $K_{\infty} / K$ satisfying the desired properties so we may replace $K$ by $K_{m}$.

Under this assumption, it follows that $K_{n+1} \cap L_{n}=K_{n}$ for all $n$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{n} K_{n+1} / K_{n}\right) \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{n} / K_{n}\right) \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n+1} / K_{n}\right)
$$

Quotienting both sides by $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{n} / K_{n}\right)$ we get that

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{n} K_{n+1} / K_{n+1}\right) \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{n} / K_{n}\right)
$$

This is a quotient of $X_{n+1}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{n+1} / K_{n+1}\right)$ since $L_{n} K_{n+1} \subseteq L_{n+1}$. We thus have a natural surjective map $X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_{n}$ which corresponds to the norm map on ideal class groups $A_{n+1} \rightarrow A_{n}$. Observe that $X_{n} \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{n} K_{\infty} / K_{\infty}\right)$ so that

$$
{\underset{饣}{n}}^{\lim _{n}} X_{n} \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} L_{n} K_{\infty}\right) / K_{\infty}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / K_{\infty}\right)=X
$$

Now since $X_{n}$ is an abelian $p$-group, it has the natural structure of a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module. Let $\Gamma_{n}=\Gamma / \Gamma^{p^{n}} \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n} / K\right)$. Given $\gamma \in \Gamma_{n}$, let $\widetilde{\gamma} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{n} / K\right)$ be an extension of $\gamma$ to $L_{n}$. Define a $\Gamma_{n}$-action on $X_{n}$ by setting

$$
x^{\gamma}=\widetilde{\gamma} x \widetilde{\gamma}^{-1}
$$

This action is well-defined since any other extension of $\gamma$ to $L_{n}$ differs from $\widetilde{\gamma}$ by an element of $X_{n}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{n} / K_{n}\right)$. Hence $X_{n}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\Gamma_{n}\right]$-module. Passing to the limit gives $X$ the structure of a $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}[[\Gamma]] \cong \Lambda\right)$-module. Explicitly, the action of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[[G]]$ on $X$ is

$$
x^{\gamma}=\widetilde{\gamma} x \widetilde{\gamma}^{-1}
$$

where $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is an extension of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ to $G$.
Now denote the primes that ramify in $K_{\infty} / K$ as $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{s}$. For each $i$, let $\mathfrak{P}_{i}$ be a prime of $L$ lying over $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ and $I_{i}$ the inertia subgroup of $G$ relative to $\mathfrak{P}_{i}$. Since $L / K_{\infty}$ is unramified, it follows that $I_{i} \cap X=1$ for all $i$. Hence the inclusion $I_{i} \rightarrow G$ induces an injective homomorphism $I_{i} \rightarrow G / X=\Gamma$ for all $i$. But $K_{\infty} / K$ is totally ramified at $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ so, in fact, this homomorphism is surjective and we thus have isomorphisms $\Gamma \cong I_{i}$ for each $i$. In other words, $G=I_{i} X=X I_{i}$ for all $i$.

Now let $\sigma_{i} \in I_{i}$ map to $\gamma_{0} \in \Gamma$. Then $\sigma_{i}$ is a topological generator of $I_{i}$. Moreover since $I_{i} \subseteq X I_{1}$, there exists $a_{i} \in X$ such that $\sigma_{i}=a_{i} \sigma_{1}$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the closure of the commutator subgroup of $G$. Then

$$
G^{\prime}=X^{\gamma_{0}-1}=T X
$$

Proof. Since we have an isomorpism $\Gamma \cong I_{1}$ and also an inclusion $I_{1} \subseteq G$, we can lift $\gamma \in \Gamma$ to the corresponding element of $I_{1}$ in order to define the action of $\Gamma$ on $X$. To ease notation, we identify $\Gamma$ with $I_{1}$ and write the action as

$$
x^{\gamma}=\gamma x \gamma^{-1}
$$

for $x \in X$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Now fix $a, b \in G$ and write $a=\alpha x, b=\beta y$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $x, y \in X$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
a b a^{-1} b^{-1} & =\alpha x \beta y x^{-1} \alpha^{-1} y^{-1} \beta^{-1} \\
& =x^{\alpha} \alpha \beta y x^{-1} \alpha^{-1} y^{-1} \beta^{-1} \\
& =x^{\alpha}\left(y x^{-1}\right)^{\alpha \beta}(\alpha \beta) \alpha^{-1} y^{-1} \beta^{-1} \\
& =x^{\alpha}\left(y x^{-1}\right)^{\alpha \beta}\left(y^{-1}\right)^{\beta} \\
& =x^{\alpha} x^{-\alpha \beta} y^{\alpha \beta} y^{-\beta} \\
& =x^{\alpha(1-\beta)} y^{(\alpha-1) \beta}
\end{align*}
$$

Now set $\beta=1$ and $\alpha=\gamma_{0}$. Then $y^{\gamma_{0}-1} \in G^{\prime}$ and so $X^{\gamma_{0}-1} \subseteq G^{\prime}$. Now suppose that $\beta$ is arbitrary. Then there exists $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that $\beta=\gamma_{0}^{c}$. Then

$$
1-\beta=1-\gamma_{0}^{c}=1-(1+T)^{c}=1-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{c}{n} T^{n} \in T \Lambda
$$

Now since $\gamma_{0}-1=T$, it follows that $\left(x^{\alpha}\right)^{1-\beta} \in X^{\gamma_{0}-1}$. By a similar argument, $\left(y^{\beta}\right)^{1-\alpha} \in$ $X^{\gamma_{0}-1}$. Now, $X$ is compact Hausdorff and $X^{\gamma_{0}-1}=T X$ is the image of the compact space $X$ under the continuous map $x \mapsto T x$ and so $X^{\gamma_{0}-1}$ is closed in $X$. It then follows that $G^{\prime} \subseteq X^{\gamma_{0}-1}$
Lemma 4.7. Let $Y_{0}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module of $X$ generated by the set $\left\{a_{i} \mid 2 \leq i \leq s\right\}$ and by $X^{\gamma_{0}-1}=T X$. Set $Y_{n}=v_{n} Y_{0}$ where

$$
v_{n}=1+\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{0}^{2}+\cdots+\gamma_{0}^{p^{n}-1}=\frac{(1-T)^{p^{n}}-1}{T}
$$

Then $X_{n} \cong X / Y_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. First suppose that $n=0$. We have that $K \subseteq L_{0} \subseteq L$. Recall that $L_{0}$ is the maximal unramified $p$-extension of $K$. Since $L / K$ is a $p$-extension, $L_{0} / K$ is the maximal unramified abelian subextension of $L / K$. Hence $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{0}\right)$ is the closed subgroup of $G$ generated by $G^{\prime}$ and all the inertia groups $I_{i}$. In other words, $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{0}\right)$ is the closure of the group generated by $X^{\gamma_{0}-1}, I_{1}$ and $\left\{a_{i} \mid 2 \leq i \leq s\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{0}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{0} / K\right)=G / \operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{0}\right) & =X I_{1} / \operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{0}\right) \\
& =X /\left\langle X^{\gamma_{0}-1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{s}\right\rangle \\
& =X / Y_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for the general case, replace $K$ with $K_{n}$ and $\gamma_{0}$ with $\gamma_{0}^{p^{n}}$. Then we may replace $\sigma_{i}$ with $\sigma_{i}^{p^{n}}$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{i}^{k+1}=\left(a_{i} \sigma_{1}\right)^{k+1} & =a_{1} \sigma_{1} a_{i} \sigma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{1}^{2} a_{i} \sigma_{1}^{-2} \cdots \sigma_{1}^{k} a_{i} \sigma^{-k} \sigma_{1}^{k+1} \\
& =a_{1}^{1+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\sigma_{1}^{k}} \sigma_{1}^{k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\sigma_{i}^{p^{n}}=\left(v_{n} a_{i}\right) \sigma_{i}^{p^{n}}$ so $a_{i}$ is replaced by $v_{n} a_{i}$. Furthermore, $X^{\gamma_{0}-1}$ is replaced by $\left(\gamma_{0}^{p^{n}}-\right.$ 1) $X=v_{n} X^{\gamma_{0}-1}$. Hence $Y_{0}$ becomes $v_{n} Y_{n}$ as desired.

Lemma 4.8 (Nakayama). Let $X$ be a compact Hasudorff $\Lambda$-module. Then

1. If $(p, T) X=X$ then $X=0$
2. If $X /(p, T) X$ is finite then $X$ is finitely generated by a set of representatives of $X /(p, T) X$ and has $\Lambda$-torsion.

Proof. We first claim that

$$
\bigcap_{n \geq 1}(p, T)^{n} X=0
$$

To this end, fix an open neighbourhood $U$ of 0 in $X$. Since the action of $\Lambda$ on $X$ is continuous and $(p, T)^{n} \rightarrow 0$, it follows that for each $x \in X$, there exists an open neighbourhood $U_{x}$ of $x$ and an integer $n(x)$ such that

$$
(p, T)^{n(x)} U_{x} \subseteq U
$$

Now, $X$ is compact so the open cover $\left\{U_{x}\right\}_{x \in X}$ of $X$ admits a finite subcover. It then follows that there must exist some integer $n$ and an open neighbourhood $U_{x}$ of $x$ such that $(p, T)^{n} U_{x} \subseteq U$. Now, $(p, T) X=X$ implies that $(p, T)^{n} X=X$ and so $X \subseteq U$ for all $U$. But $X$ is Hausdorff so $X=0$.

Now assume that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are representatives of $X /(p, T) X$. Let $Y=\Lambda x_{1}+\ldots \Lambda x_{n} \subseteq$ $X$. Then $Y$ is compact since it is the image of $\Lambda^{n}$ under the natural map. Since $X$ is Hausdorff, $Y$ is thus closed. It then follows that $X / Y$ is compact Hausdorff. By Part 1, we then see that $X / Y=0$ whence $X=Y$.

To see that $X$ is torsion, let $p^{k}$ be the exponent of $X /(p, T) X$ so that $p^{k} x_{i} \in T X$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Write

$$
p^{k} x_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} T a_{i j}(T) x_{j}
$$

Let $A=\left(p^{k} \delta_{i j}-T a_{i j}(T)\right)_{i, j}$ and denote $g(A)=\operatorname{det} A \in \Lambda$. Then, clearly, $g(A) x_{i}=0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ but $g(0)=p^{k n} \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.9. $X=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / K_{\infty}\right)$ is a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda$-module.
Proof. Observe that $v_{1} \in(p, T)$ and so $Y_{0} /(p, T) Y_{0}$ is a quotient of $Y_{0} / v_{1} Y_{0}=Y_{0} / Y_{1} \subseteq$ $X / Y_{1}=X_{1}$ which is finite. Hence $Y_{0} /(p, T) Y_{0}$ is finite and is thus a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda$-module by Nakayama's Lemma. But $X / Y_{0}=X_{0}$ which is finite so $X$ must be finitely generated and torsion too.

We may now remove the assumption given above:
Proposition 4.10. Let $K_{\infty} / K$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension. Then $X$ is a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module and there exists $e \geq 0$ such that

$$
X_{n} \cong X / v_{n, e} Y_{e}
$$

for all $n \geq e$ where $v_{n, e}=v_{n} / v_{e}$.

Proof. By Proposition 1.4, there exists $e \geq 0$ such that every prime of $K_{\infty} / K_{e}$ that ramifies in fact ramifies totally. Then $X$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module by the previous Lemmata. Now if $n \geq e$ we have

$$
v_{n, e}=\frac{v_{n}}{v_{e}}=1+\gamma_{0}^{p^{e}}+\gamma_{0}^{2 p^{e}}+\cdots+\gamma_{0}^{p^{n}-p^{e}}
$$

This replaces $v_{n}$ for $K_{e}$ since $\gamma_{0}^{p^{e}}$ generates $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\infty} / K_{e}\right)$. Now let $Y_{e}$ be the $Y_{0}$ provided by Lemma 4.7. Then $Y_{n}=v_{n, e} Y_{e}$ and $X_{n} \cong X / Y_{n}$ for all $n \geq e$ as claimed.

Proposition 4.11. Consider the finitely generated $\Lambda$-module

$$
E=\Lambda^{r} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Lambda /\left(p^{k_{i}}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \Lambda /\left(g_{j}(T)\right)\right)
$$

where each $g_{j}(T)$ is distinguished. Let $m=\sum_{i} k_{i}$ and $l=\sum_{j} \operatorname{deg} g_{j}$. If $E / v_{n, e} E$ is finite for all $n$ then $r=0$ and there exists $n_{0}$ and $c$ such that

$$
\left|E / v_{n, e} E\right|=p^{m p^{n}+\ln +c}
$$

for all $n>n_{0}$.
Proof. Let $V$ be a summand of $E$. We shall calculate $V / v_{n, e} V$ for each possible value of $E$. First suppose that $V=\Lambda$. Since $v_{n, e} \notin \Lambda^{\times}$, it follows that $\Lambda /\left(v_{n, e}\right)$ is infinite by Lemma 3.10. But this contradicts the hypothesis that $E / v_{n, e} E$ is finite for all $n$. Hence $V=\Lambda$ does not occur as a summand.

Now suppose that $V=\Lambda /\left(p^{k}\right)$ for some $k$. Then

$$
V / v_{n, e} V \cong \Lambda /\left(p^{k}, v_{n, e}\right)
$$

Observe that if the quotient of two distinguished polynomials is again a polynomial then the quotient is itself distinguished (or constant). Thus $v_{n, e}$ is distinguished. The division algorithm then implies that every element of $\Lambda /\left(p^{k}, v_{n, e}\right)$ is uniquely represented by a polynomial modulo $p^{k}$ of degree less than $\operatorname{deg} v_{n, e}=p^{n}-p^{e}$. Hence

$$
\left|V / v_{n, e} V\right|=p^{k\left(p^{n}-p^{e}\right)}=p^{k p^{n}+c}
$$

for some constant $c$.
Now assume that $V=\Lambda /(g(T))$ for some distinguished $g(T)$. Let $d=\operatorname{deg} g$. Then

$$
T^{d} \equiv p Q(T) \quad(\bmod g)
$$

From now on, let $Q(T)$ be a placeholder for a polynomial whose exact form isn't important. If $k \geq d$ then

$$
T^{k} \equiv p Q(T) \quad(\bmod g)
$$

So if $p^{n} \geq d$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1+T)^{p^{n}} & =1+p Q(T)+T^{p^{n}} \\
& \equiv 1+p Q(T) \quad(\bmod g)
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus

$$
(1+T)^{p^{n+1}} \equiv 1+p^{2} Q(T) \quad(\bmod g)
$$

If we denote $P_{n}(T)=(1+T)^{p^{n}}-1$ then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{n+2}(T)=(1+T)^{p^{n+2}}-1 & =\left((1+T)^{(p-1) p^{n+1}}+\cdots+(1+T)^{p^{n+1}}+1\right)\left((1+T)^{p^{n+1}}-1\right) \\
& =\left(1+\cdots+1+p^{2} Q(T)\right) P_{n+1}(T) \\
& \equiv p(1+p Q(T)) P_{n+1}(T) \quad(\bmod g)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $\varepsilon$ be a placeholder for an element of $\Lambda^{\times}$. Then we see that $P_{n+2} / P_{n+1}$ acts as $p \varepsilon$ on $\Lambda /(g)$ for $p^{n} \geq d$. Now assume that $n_{0}>e$ such that $p^{n_{0}}>d$. Then for all $n \geq n_{0}$ we have

$$
\frac{v_{n+2, e}}{v_{n+1, e}}=\frac{v_{n+2}}{v_{n+1}}=\frac{P_{n+2}}{P_{n+1}}
$$

whence

$$
v_{n+2, e} V=\frac{P_{n+2}}{P_{n+1}}\left(v_{n+1, e} V\right)=p v_{n+1, e} V
$$

and so

$$
\left|V / v_{n+2, e} V\right|=|V / p V| \cdot\left|p V / p v_{n+1, e} V\right|
$$

Since $g$ is coprime to $p$, multiplication by $p$ is an injective endomorphism of $V$ and so

$$
\left|p V / p v_{n+1, e} V\right|=\left|V / v_{n+1, e} V\right|
$$

On the other hand,

$$
V / p V \cong \Lambda /(p, g)=\Lambda /\left(p, T^{d}\right)
$$

so that $|V / p V|=p^{d}$. By induction on $n$ it then follows that

$$
\left|V / v_{n, e} V\right|=p^{d\left(n-n_{0}-1\right)}\left|V / v_{n_{0}+1, e} V\right|
$$

for $n \geq n_{0}+1$. Hence

$$
\left|V / v_{n, e} V\right|=p^{d n+c}
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}+1$ and some constant $c$.
The Proposition then follows upon putting together each summand.
Corollary 4.12. Let $K_{\infty} / K$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module so that $X$ is a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module and $X_{n} \cong X / v_{n, e} Y_{e}$ for some $e \geq 0$. Then

$$
Y_{e} \sim X \sim \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Lambda /\left(p^{k_{i}}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \Lambda /\left(g_{j}(T)\right)=E
$$

for some distinguished irreducible polynomials $g_{j}$. Moreover, $\left|E / v_{n, e} E\right|$ is finite for all $n$ and there exist constants $n_{0}$ and $c$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}+1$ we have

$$
\left|E / v_{n, e} E\right|=p^{m p^{n}+l n+c}
$$

where $m=\sum_{i} k_{i}$ and $l=\sum_{j} \operatorname{deg} g_{j}$.

Proof. We first observe that, since $X_{e}=X / Y_{e}$ is finite, and $Y_{e} \subseteq X$, we have a pseudoisomorphism $Y_{e} \sim X$. Moreover, $X$ is pseudo-isomorphic to a $\Lambda$-module of the form

$$
\Lambda^{r} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Lambda /\left(p^{k_{i}}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \Lambda /\left(g_{j}(T)\right)
$$

by the Structure Theorem for Finitely Generated $\Lambda$-modules. Now, Lemma 3.10 implies that $\Lambda /\left(v_{n, e}\right)$ is infinite. Since $Y_{e} / v_{n, e} Y_{e}$ this is not possible. Hence $\Lambda$ cannot occur in the direct summand decomposition above. It remains to show that each $\left|E / v_{n, e} E\right|$ is finite. The summands of the form $\Lambda /\left(p^{k_{i}}\right)$ were shown to always be finite in the previous proof. The only case we need to worry about is whether or not $\Lambda /\left(g_{j}, v_{n, e}\right)$ is finite. By Lemma 3.7. this is certainly finite since $g_{j}$ and $v_{n, e}$ are coprime. The rest of the Corollary then follows immediately from the Proposition.

Corollary 4.13. Let $E$ be a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module of the form

$$
E=\Lambda^{r} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Lambda /\left(p^{k_{i}}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \Lambda /\left(g_{j}(T)\right)\right)
$$

If $m=\sum_{i} k_{i}$ then $m=0$ if and only if the p-rank of $E / v_{n, e} E$ is bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Recall that the $p$-rank of a finite abelian group $A$ is the number of direct summands of $p$-power order of $A$. By tensoring with $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, the $p$-rank is equal to $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}}(A / p A)$. With this in mind, we have

$$
E /\left(p, v_{n, e}\right) E=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Lambda /\left(p, v_{n, e}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \Lambda /\left(p, v_{n, e}, g_{j}\right)
$$

Now, $v_{n, e}$ is a distinguished polynomial of degree $p^{n}-p^{e}$ so if $\operatorname{deg} v_{n, e} \geq \max \operatorname{deg} g_{j}$ then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E /\left(p, v_{n, e}\right) E & =\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Lambda /\left(p, T^{p^{n}-p^{e}}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \Lambda /\left(p, T^{\operatorname{deg} g_{j}}\right) \\
& \cong(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{s\left(p^{n}-p^{e}\right)+l}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $l=\sum_{j} \operatorname{deg} g_{j}$. This is bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $s=0$ if and only if $m=0$.
Lemma 4.14. Let $Y$ and $E$ be $\Lambda$-modules such that $Y \sim E$ and $Y / v_{n, e} Y$ is finite for all $n \geq e$. Then there exist constants $c$ and $n_{0}$ such that

$$
\left|Y / v_{n, e} Y\right|=p^{c}\left|E / v_{n, e} E\right|
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$.
Proof. We have a commutative diagram


We first claim that we have the following inequalities:

1. $\left|\operatorname{ker} \phi_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leq|\operatorname{ker} \phi|$
2. $\left|\operatorname{coker} \phi_{n}^{\prime}\right|<|\operatorname{coker} \phi|$
3. $\left|\operatorname{coker} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right|<\mid$ coker $\phi \mid$
4. $\left|\operatorname{ker} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right|<|\operatorname{ker} \phi| \cdot|\operatorname{coker} \phi|$

Inequality 1 is immediate. Inequality 2 follows upon multiplying the representatives of coker $\phi$ by $v_{n, e}$. Inequality 3 follows from the fact that representatives of coker $\phi$ give representatives of coker $\phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}$. To prove inequality 4, first note that the Snake Lemma gives us an exact sequence
$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} \phi_{n}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} \phi \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker} \phi_{n}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker} \phi \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow 0$
so that $\left|\operatorname{ker} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq|\operatorname{ker} \phi| \cdot\left|\operatorname{coker} \phi_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leq|\operatorname{ker} \phi| \cdot|\operatorname{coker} \phi|$.
Now let $m \geq n \geq 0$. We claim that we have the following inequalities:
a. $\left|\operatorname{ker} \phi_{n}^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|\operatorname{ker} \phi_{m}^{\prime}\right|$
b. $\left|\operatorname{coker} \phi_{n}^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|\operatorname{coker} \phi_{m}^{\prime}\right|$
c. $\left|\operatorname{coker} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq\left|\operatorname{coker} \phi_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right|$

To prove $a$, first observe that $v_{m, e}=\left(v_{m, e} / v_{n, e}\right) v_{n, e}$ and so $v_{m, e} Y \subseteq v_{n, e} Y$ whence $\operatorname{ker} \phi_{m}^{\prime} \subseteq$ ker $\phi_{n}^{\prime}$. To prove $b$, fix $v_{m, e} y \in v_{m, e} E$. Let $z \in v_{n, y} E$ be a representative of $\left[v_{n, e} y\right] \in \operatorname{coker} \phi_{n}^{\prime}$. Then $v_{n, e} y-z=\phi\left(v_{n, e} x\right)$ for some $x \in Y$. Multiplying by $v_{m, e} / v_{n, e}$ we get

$$
v_{m, e} y-\left(\frac{v_{m, e}}{v_{n, e}}\right) z=\phi\left(v_{m, e} x\right)=\phi_{m}^{\prime}\left(v_{m, e}(x)\right)
$$

So $v_{m, e} / v_{n, e}$ times representatives of coker $\phi_{n}^{\prime}$ gives representatives of coker $\phi_{m}^{\prime}$ whence $b$. $c$ is immediate from the fact that $v_{m, e} E \subseteq v_{n, e} E$.

Combining all these inequalities, we see that the orders of $\operatorname{ker} \phi_{n}^{\prime}$, coker $\phi_{n}^{\prime}$ and coker $\phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ are constant for all $n \geq n_{0}$ for some $n_{0}$. From the above exact sequence, we have

$$
\left|\operatorname{ker} \phi_{n}^{\prime}\right| \cdot|\operatorname{ker} \phi| \cdot\left|\operatorname{ker} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right|=\left|\operatorname{coker} \phi_{n}^{\prime}\right| \cdot|\operatorname{coker} \phi| \cdot\left|\operatorname{coker} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right|
$$

so that $\left|\operatorname{ker} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right|$ is also constant for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Now, the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow Y / v_{n, e} Y \longrightarrow E / v_{n, e} E \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

implies that $\left|Y / v_{n, e} Y\right|=\left|E / v_{n, e} E\right| \cdot\left|\operatorname{ker} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\operatorname{coker} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{-1}=p^{c}\left|E / v_{n, e} E\right|$ for some constant $c$ and all $n \geq n_{0}$.

We cam now finally prove the original Theorem:
Theorem 4.15. Let $K_{\infty} / K$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension with intermediate fields $K_{n}$. Let $p^{e_{n}}$ be the exact power of $p$ dividing the class number of $K_{n}$. Then there are integers $\lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 0$ called the Iwasawa invariants of $K_{\infty} / K$ and $v$ (independently of $n$ ) and an integer $n_{0}$ such that

$$
e_{n}=\lambda n+\mu p^{n}+v
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$.

Proof. Let $e \geq 0$ be such that all primes that ramify in $K_{\infty} / K_{e}$ ramify totally. Then we have that $X$ is a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module and $X_{n} \cong X / v_{n, e} Y_{e}$. Since $X_{e}=X / Y_{e}$ is finite (and a power of $p$ ), we have that

$$
\left|X_{n}\right|=\left|X / Y_{e}\right| \cdot\left|Y / v_{n, e} Y\right|=\left|X / Y_{e}\right| \cdot p^{c} \cdot\left|E / v_{n, e} E\right|=p^{\lambda n+\mu p^{n}+v}
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$ for some constants $n_{0}, \lambda, \mu$ and $v$.

## 5 The 1-dimensional Main Conjectures

Definition 5.1. Let $M$ be a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda$-module so that

$$
M \sim \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Lambda /\left(p^{k_{i}}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \Lambda /\left(f_{j}(T)^{g_{j}}\right)
$$

for some irreducible distinguished polynomials $f_{j}$. We define the characteristic polynomial of $M$ to be

$$
\operatorname{char}(M)=\prod_{i=1}^{s} p^{k_{i}} \times \prod_{j=1}^{t} f_{j}^{g_{j}}
$$

Theorem 5.2 (Mazur-Wiles). Let $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $F_{\infty}=$ $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right)$ be the extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by all p-power roots of unity, $\Delta=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ and denote $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Recall that we have an isomorphism

$$
G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\infty} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong \Delta \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty} / \mathbb{Q}\right)=\Delta \times \Gamma
$$

Let $F_{n}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n}}\right)$. Denote by $E_{n}$ the group of global units of $F_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ the subgroup of $E_{n}$ consisting of the cyclotomic units. These are both $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{n} / K\right)$-modules. We recall that the closure of $E_{n}$ in $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} / p} U_{F_{n, \mathfrak{p}}}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module and thus so is the corresponding closure of $C_{n}$. Define

$$
E_{\infty}=\lim _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{E_{n}}, \quad C_{\infty}=\lim _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{C_{n}}
$$

with respect to the norm maps. Then $E_{\infty}$ and $C_{\infty}$ are finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\infty} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]\right]=$ $\Gamma[[\Delta]]=\Lambda[\Delta]$-modules.

Let $A_{n}$ be the p-part of the ideal class group of $F_{n}$ and denote $X_{\infty}=\lim _{\varliminf_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} A_{n}$ with respect to the norm maps.

Now fix a character $\chi: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. Given a $\Lambda[\Delta]$-module $M$, let $M^{\chi}=e_{\chi} M$ be the $\chi$-isotypical part of $M$.

From previous results, we know that $X$ is a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda$-module whence so is $X^{\chi}$. It can be shown that $\left(E_{\infty} / C_{\infty}\right)^{\chi}$ is also a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda$-module. Then

$$
\operatorname{char}\left(X^{\chi}\right)=\operatorname{char}\left(\left(E_{\infty} / C_{\infty}\right)^{\chi}\right)
$$

Theorem 5.3 (Rubin). Let $K$ be an imaginary quadratic fieled and $p$ a rational prime that splits completely into distinct primes $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{*}$ in $K$. Let $K_{\infty}$ be the unique $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension of $K$ which is ramified only at $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $F_{0}$ be an abelian extension of $K$ such that $\left[F_{0}: K\right]$ is
prime to $p$ and such that $F_{0}$ contains the Hilbert class field of $K$. Then $\mathfrak{p}$ is totally ramified in $K_{\infty} / K$ and $K_{\infty} \cap F_{0}=K$. Let $F_{\infty}=F_{0} K_{\infty}$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & =\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\infty} / K_{\infty}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{0} / K\right) \\
\Gamma & =\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\infty} / K\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\infty} / F_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\infty} / K\right)=\Delta \times \Gamma$. Let $F_{n}$ be the extension of $F_{0}$ of degree $p^{n}$ in $F_{\infty}$. If we replace $C_{n}$ in the above Theorem with the subgroup of $E_{n}$ consisting of the elliptic units then we again have finitely generated $\Lambda$-modules $X_{\infty}, C_{\infty}, E_{\infty}$.

The images of a character $\chi: \Delta \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}}$lie entirely in the ring of integers of an $n$ dimensional extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ in which case we say that $\operatorname{dim} \chi=n$. For simplicity, we assume that $\operatorname{dim} \chi=1$ but the main conjecture in this case can be formulated perfectly analogously for arbitary dimensions.

The rest of the statements of the previous Theorem then follow through immediately and we get

$$
\operatorname{char}\left(X_{\infty}^{\chi}\right)=\operatorname{char}\left(\left(E_{\infty} / C_{\infty}\right)^{\chi}\right)
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Recall that the complex $p$-adics are the completion of the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ which are themselves algebraically closed.

